



PANDA SECURITY, S.L.,
Opposer,

-versus-

**PRICE PANDA GROUP GMBH (formerly,
known as JADE. 1367 Gmbh),**
Respondent-Applicant.

X-----X

IPC No. 14-2013-00170
Opposition to:
Appln No. 4-2012-012600
Date Filed: 12 October 2012
TM: "PRICEPANDA"

NOTICE OF ORDER

LAW FIRM OF REYES RARA & ASSOCIATES

Counsel for the Opposer
Ground Floor, W. Tower
39th Street, Bonifacio Global City
Taguig City

ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION & CRUZ

Counsel for Respondent-Applicant
22nd Floor, ACCRALAW Tower
Second Avenue corner 30th St. Crescent Park West
Bonifacio Global City
Taguig City

GREETINGS:

Please be informed that Order No. 2015 - 15 (D) dated February 02, 2015 (copy enclosed) was promulgated in the above entitled case.

Taguig City, February 02, 2015.

For the Director:

Atty. ADORACION R.U. ZARE
Bureau of Legal Affairs



PANDA SECURITY, S. L.,	}	IPC NO. 14-2013-00170
Opposer,	}	
	}	Opposition to:
- versus -	}	Application Serial No. 4-2012-012600
	}	Date Filed: 12 October 2012
PRICEPANDA GROUP GmbH	}	
(formerly known as JADE.1367 GmbH),	}	Trademark: PRICEPANDA
Respondent-Applicant.	}	
x-----x	}	Order No. 2015 - <u>15 (D)</u>

ORDER

UNITED AMERICAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., ("Opposer") filed an opposition to Trademark Application Serial No. 4-2012-012600. The application filed by PRICEPANDA GROUP GmbH (formerly known as JADE.1367 GmbH) ("Respondent-Applicant"), covers the mark **PRICEPANDA** for use on goods under Classes 35, 36, 38 and 42. The opposition is anchored on Section 123.1 (d) of R.A. 8293 also known as the Intellectual Property Code of the Philippines ("IP Code").

This Bureau issued a Notice to Answer and served a copy thereof to Respondent-Applicant on 01 August 2013. The Respondent-Applicant filed its Answer on 30 October 2013.

Pursuant to Office Order No. 154, s. 2010 ("*Rules of Procedure for IPO Mediation Proceedings*") and Office Order No. 197, s. 2010 ("*Mechanics for IPO Mediation and Settlement Period*"), this Bureau issued on 25 November 2013 Order No. 2013-247 referring the case to mediation. However, on 15 May 2014, the ADR Services of this Bureau submitted a Mediation Report indicating the failure of the parties to mediate, and as a consequence, the records were returned to the Bureau proper for the resumption of the adjudication proceedings. During the preliminary conference, parties manifested their intention to still negotiate for settlement.

On 27 January 2015, the Opposer filed a letter with the attached notarized Compromise Agreement indicating the settlement by the parties.

This Bureau evaluated the Compromise Agreement and finds that the same has been duly entered into by the parties with the terms and conditions thereof not contrary to law, morals, good customs, public order or public policy.

Accordingly, an approved Compromise Agreement shall have the effect of a decision or judgment on the case and shall be enforced accordingly in accordance with the pertinent rules of IPO and the Rules of Court.¹

¹ Office Order No. 154 Series of 2010.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, the parties' Compromise Agreement is hereby **APPROVED**. Accordingly, the instant opposition case is hereby **DISMISSED**. Let the filewrapper of Trademark Application Serial No. 4-2012-012600 be returned, together with a copy of this Decision, to the Bureau of Trademarks for information and appropriate action.

SO ORDERED.

Taguig City, 02 February 2015.



ATTY. NATHANIEL S. AREVALO
Director IV
Bureau of Legal Affairs

cpb