

OEP PHILIPPINES, INC.,	}	IPC No. 11-2008-00048
Petitioner,	}	Petition for Cancellation:
	}	Patent No. 1-1996-52993
	}	Date Issued: 05 May 2005
- versus -	}	
	}	Title: Method of Treatment
	}	(Using Combination of
SMITHKLINE BEECHAM PLC,	}	Amoxicillin Trihydrate and
Respondent-Patentee.	}	Potassium Clavulanate)
X	-x	Order No. 2012 - 🕢 (D)

ORDER

OEP PHILIPPINES, INC. ("Petitioner") filed on 22 February 2008 a Verified Petition for Cancellation of Philippine Patent No. 1-1996-52993 for "Method of Treatment" issued on 05 May 2005 to SMITHKLINE BEECHAM PLC ("Respondent-Patentee"). The Petitioner seeks the cancellation of the subject patent registration on the following grounds:

- 1. that the claimed invention is not new or patentable;
- 2. that the specification does not disclose the invention in a manner sufficiently clear and complete for it to be carried out by any person skilled in the art; and
- 3. that the subject patent includes matters outside of the scope of the disclosure in the application as filed.

The records show, however, that in IPC No. 11-2006-00024¹, which involves the subject patent registration, this Bureau already rendered a decision², the dispositive portion of which provides, to wit:

"WHEREFORE, premises considered, the instant PETITION FOR CANCELLATION is hereby GRANTED. Accordingly, Letters Patent No. 1-1996-52993 filed on 30 April 1996 and issued on 5 May 2005 for "Method of Treatment" issued in the name of Smithkline Beecham PLC, respondent, is as it is hereby CANCELLED and is declared NULL and VOID."

The Respondent-Patentee appealed the above-quoted decision to the Office of the Director General and the same was dismissed in a decision dated 16 September 2010. Thus, on 02 June 2011, this Bureau issued an Entry of Judgment/Execution of Decision stating that Decision

Natrapharm, Inc. v. Smithkline Beecham PLC.

² Decision No. 2007-61 dated 31 May 2007.

No. 2007-61 became final and executory on 07 October 2010 and is hereby recorded in this Bureau's Book of Entries of Judgments.

With the finality of the decision canceling the Respondent-Patentee's patent registration, there is no more actual controversy nor legal basis to proceed with this case.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, the instant petition for cancellation is hereby **DISMISSED**. Let a copy of this Order be forwarded to the Bureau of Patents for information and appropriate action.

SO ORDERED.

Taguig City, 05 March 2012.

Atty. NATHANIEL S. AREVALO

Director IV

Bureau of Legal Affairs